

09-1229-cv

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

ROBERT L. SCHULZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant

-against-

1:08-CV-991 (Lead)

UNITED STATES FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM,
BEN S. BERNANKE, Chairman of the United States
Federal Reserve System, UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, HENRY M.
PAULSON, JR., Secretary of the United States
Department of the Treasury, and the UNITED STATES

Defendants-Appellees

ROBERT L. SCHULZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant

1:08-CV-1011 (Member)

-against-

UNITED STATES EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT,
GEORGE W. BUSH, President of the United States,
HENRY M. PAULSON, JR., Secretary of the Treasury;
UNITED STATES CONGRESS, NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker of the House of Representatives, HARRY
REID, Senate Majority Leader; UNITED STATES
FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM, BEN S. BERNANKE,
Chairman of the Board of the United States Federal
Reserve System,

Defendants-Appellees

REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF APPELLANT

June 17, 2009

ROBERT L. SCHULZ
2458 Ridge Road
Queensbury, NY 12804

CUNNING IS THE IMITATION OF WISDOM

More dangerous to the health of the Republic than seems evident, as in an insidious disease, is defendants' cunning Statement of the Case, Standard of Review, analysis and recommended treatment. Have Defendants no honor with regard to the facts, the Law or their ethical obligations both as attorneys and as counsel for the United States Government and the (privately-owned) Federal Reserve?

The truth is this case was not brought by Schulz as a *taxpayer* objecting to putting taxpayer money at risk as (falsely) stated by defendants (Brief, 2). The truth is this case was brought by Schulz as a **natural born citizen** whose natural, individual, unalienable Rights, Liberties and Freedoms are protected by the U.S. Constitution (A-12, par 4), who brought forth one, and only one, cause of action in the lead case (i.e., the agreement with AIG is *ultra vires* and unconstitutional) (A-13), and who brought forth one, and only one, cause of action in the member case (i.e., the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 is *ultra vires* and unconstitutional) (A-68).

With malicious artifice and stratagem, Defendants would undermine the Republic, conning this Court in order to induce it to state this case is something it is not, and to permit the government defendants to act outside the Constitution – i.e., without any authority granted to them by the People.

Sophisticated government defendants have a plain and unambiguous duty to speak to Plaintiff's true, and concisely articulated constitutional challenges as presented in the court below and argued in his Brief to this Court. Defendants' failure to do so is admission of treason to the Constitution.

Defendant's artful Brief rises to the level of frivolity, if not outright duplicity.

Dated: June 17, 2009

ROBERT L. SCHULZ, pro se
2458 Ridge Road
Queensbury, NY 12804